

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMUSSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box [450] Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspib.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,112	10/30/2000	Michael Gottlieb Jensen	1778.1730000	8333
26111 75	590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ELLIS, RICHARD L	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2183	
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	09/702,112	JENSEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard Ellis	2183
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 F 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condi	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,9,18,36-41,43-48,58-62 and 68-83 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 1,9,18,36-41,43-48 and 58-62 is/are 6) Claim(s) 68-83 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	er. cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I drawing(s) is objected t	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 21 2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Serial Number 09/702,112 Art Unit 2183 Paper Number 20060425

- 1. Claims 1, 9, 18, 36-41, 43-48, 58-62, and 68-83 remain for examination.
- 2. Claims 1, 9, 19, 36-41, 43-48, and 58-62 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, US Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.
- 4. Claims 68-83 are rejected under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Larsen, U.S. patent 5,115,500, in view of Heene et al., U.S. Patent 4,802,119.

<u>Larsen</u> and <u>Heene et al.</u> were cited as a prior art references in paper number 20050921, mailed October 5, 2005.

- 5. The rejections are respectfully maintained and incorporated by reference as set forth in the last office action, paper number 20050921, mailed October 5, 2005.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed February 21, 2006, paper number 20050221, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.
- 7. In the remarks, applicant argues in substance:
 - A. That: "The Examiner has rejected claim 68, arguing that U.S. Patent 4,802,119 ("HEENE") discloses comparing, in parallel, an address to boundary addresses stored in a plurality of boundary address registers. HEENE, however, fails to disclose the comparing of addresses. Rather, HEENE teaches the comparison of the eight most significant bits of an address with eight bits of another address. Therefore, addresses are not being compared in HEENE, only segments thereof--only the eight leading bits of addresses are compared. (HEENE, column 7, lines 16-32). ... HEENE therefore fails to teach the claimed comparison, in parallel, of an address to boundary addresses."

This is not found persuasive because applicant's claims place no restriction upon the meaning of the term "address" in the claims, such that comparing a complete address (as applicant's argue above) or comparing a portion of an address (as Heene et al. performs) are both broadly "comparing addresses". Therefore, the Heene et al. reference taught comparison of addresses sufficiently to read upon applicant's broadly recited "addresses" in the claim language.

8. Applicant's remaining arguments are all prefaced upon the belief that Heene et al. did not compare addresses. As it has been shown above that Heene et al.'s address comparison reads upon the broad claim language, the remaining aspects of the claims remain taught by the

combination as detailed in the prior office action.

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Richard Ellis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4165. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the USPTO is: (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Richard Ellis April 25, 2006 RICHARD L. ELLIS PRIMARY EXAMINER